

Quasi-coherent sheaves

P. Gabriel

1958–59

Translator's note

This page is a translation into English of the following:

Gabriel, P. “Faisceaux quasi-cohérents.” *Séminaire Claude Chevalley* 4 (1958–59), Talk no. 1. numdam.org/item/SCC_1958-1959__4__A1_0

The translator (Tim Hosgood) takes full responsibility for any errors introduced, and claims no rights to any of the mathematical content herein.

Version: 50c2259

| p. 1-01

We assume prior knowledge of the definitions and elementary properties of sheaves of modules on a topological space, i.e. [[2], chapitre I, §1; chapitre II, §§1–2]. We define a presheaf $\mathcal{P}$ on a base $\mathcal{B}$ of open subsets of a topological space X , with values in a category $\mathcal{C}$, to be the following data:

- for every open subset U in $\mathcal{B}$, an object $\mathcal{P}(U)$ of $\mathcal{C}$, that we may also denote by $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{P})$;
- for every pair (U, V) of open subsets U in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $U \subset V$, a morphism $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(U)$. The morphism ρ_{UV} will be called the restriction of V to U . We further suppose that, if $U \subset V \subset W$ are open subsets in $\mathcal{B}$, then $\rho_{UV} \circ \rho_{VW} = \rho_{UW}$.

The construction of the sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ associated to a presheaf $\mathcal{P}$ can be easily generalised to the case of presheaves on a base of open subsets. If $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ is a cover of the open subset $U \in \mathcal{B}$, where $U_i \in \mathcal{B}$, and $U_i \cap U_j \in \mathcal{B}$, and if $\mathcal{P}$ is a presheaf of rings (resp. of modules) on the base $\mathcal{B}$, then we write $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P})$ to mean the subring (resp. submodule) of $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(U_i)$ given by the $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $\rho_{U_i \cap U_j, U_i}(X_i) = \rho_{U_i \cap U_j, U_j}(X_j)$ for every pair $i, j \in I$. We then have canonical maps:

$$\mathcal{P}(U) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(U);$$

if these maps are injective for every cover $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying the conditions above, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(U)$ is the union of the $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P})$.

1 Preliminaries on localisation

Let A be a unital commutative ring. A submonoid S of the multiplicative monoid of A (i.e. a non-empty subset of A such that, if it contains s and t , then it contains $s \cdot t$) is said to

be *complete* if it satisfies the following condition: if $s \cdot t \in S$, then $s \in S$ and $t \in S$. To every multiplicative submonoid S , we associate a complete monoid $\tilde{S}$ in the following way: $s \in \tilde{S}$ if and only if there exists some t in A such that $s \cdot t \in S$. The prime ideals that meet S also meet $\tilde{S}$, and vice versa. Furthermore, the complement of $\tilde{S}$ in A is a union of prime ideals (the ideals that are maximal amongst those that do not meet $\tilde{S}$ are prime). | p. 1-02

If now M denotes a unital A -module, and S a multiplicative submonoid of A , then we denote by M_S the following abelian group:

The set M_S is the quotient of $M \times S$ by the equivalence relation

$$(m, s) = (n, t) \iff \exists r \in S \text{ such that } r(mt - ns) = 0.$$

The addition in $M \times S$ is defined by $(m, s) + (n, t) = (mt + ns, st)$; our equivalence relation is compatible with the addition, whence we get the structure of an abelian group on M_S . We denote by m/s the class of (m, s) in M_S .

We have a bilinear map $A_S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_S \rightarrow M_S$ defined by passing to quotients from the map $((a, s), (m, t)) \mapsto (am, st)$. Taking $M = A$, we see that A_S is a ring and, more generally, M_S is an A_S -module. The map $\psi: m \mapsto m/1$ from M to M_S is compatible with the ring homomorphism $\varphi: a \mapsto a/1$ from A to A_S (i.e. it is a homomorphism of abelian groups such that $\psi(a \cdot m) = \varphi(a) \cdot \psi(m)$).

We can furthermore easily prove the following claims: the correspondence $M \mapsto M_S$ is functorial (in the evident way); the functor $M \mapsto M_S$ is exact (i.e. if $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of A -modules, then $0 \rightarrow M_S \rightarrow M'_S \rightarrow M''_S \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of A_S -modules) and commutes with inductive limits (i.e. if $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is an inductive system of A -modules, and L an inductive limit of this system, then the $(M_i)_S$ form an inductive system of A_S -modules, and the homomorphisms $(M_i)_S \rightarrow L_S$ induced by the $M_i \rightarrow L$ define L_S as an inductive limit of the system $((M_i)_S)$; the canonical map $M \otimes_A A_S \rightarrow M_S$ is bijective.

If S and T are submonoids, then the abelian groups $(M_S)_T$, $(M_T)_S$, and $M_{S \cdot T}$ are all canonically isomorphic to one another, where $S \cdot T$ denotes the monoid given by products $s \cdot t$ with $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. Identifying the rings $(A_S)_T$, $(A_T)_S$, and $A_{S \cdot T}$, which are themselves all canonically isomorphic to one another, the isomorphisms between $(M_S)_T$, $(M_T)_S$, and $M_{S \cdot T}$ are isomorphisms of modules. | p. 1-03

If S is contained in a submonoid S' of the multiplicative monoid of A , then there is a canonical map from M_S to $M_{S'}$ that sends each element m_s of M_S (where $m \in M$ and $s \in S$) to the element of $M_{S'}$ denoted by the same symbol. The map $A_S \rightarrow A_{S'}$ is a ring homomorphism; the map $M_S \rightarrow M_{S'}$ is that which corresponds to the map $M \otimes_A A_S \rightarrow M \otimes_A A_{S'}$, induced by $A_S \rightarrow A_{S'}$. If $S' = \tilde{S}$ is the smallest complete monoid containing S , then the map $M_S \rightarrow M_{\tilde{S}}$ is bijective. Finally, if S is the union of an increasingly-ordered filtered family of monoids S_i , then M_S can be identified with $\varinjlim M_{S_i}$.

2 The prime spectrum of a commutative ring

Let A be a unital commutative ring, and $V(A)$ the set of prime ideals of A . If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of A , then we denote by $W(\mathfrak{a})$ the set of prime ideals that contain $\mathfrak{a}$, and $U(\mathfrak{a}) = V(A) \setminus W(\mathfrak{a})$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} W(\mathfrak{a}) &\subset V(A) & U(\mathfrak{a}) &\subset V(A) \\ W(\sum \mathfrak{a}_i) &= \bigcap_i W(\mathfrak{a}_i) & W(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) &= W(\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}) = W(\mathfrak{a}) \cup W(\mathfrak{b}) \\ U(\sum \mathfrak{a}_i) &= \bigcup_i U(\mathfrak{a}_i) & U(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) &= U(\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}) = U(\mathfrak{a}) \cap U(\mathfrak{b}). \end{aligned}$$

The set $U(\mathfrak{a})$ increases with $\mathfrak{a}$ and $W(\mathfrak{a})$ decreases when $\mathfrak{a}$ increases. Finally, $W(\mathfrak{a}) = W(\mathfrak{b})$ if and only if every element of $\mathfrak{a}$ has a power in $\mathfrak{b}$, and vice versa: indeed, to say that $W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset W(\mathfrak{b})$ is to say that every prime ideal that contains $\mathfrak{a}$ also contains $\mathfrak{b}$, i.e. that $\mathfrak{b}$ is contained in the intersection of the prime ideals containing $\mathfrak{a}$, and it is classical that this latter intersection consists of the elements that have a power in $\mathfrak{a}$.

The sets $U(\mathfrak{a})$ are the open subsets of a topology on $V(A)$, and, endowed with this topology, $V(A)$ is called the *prime spectrum* of A .

If the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by the (f_i) , then $U(\mathfrak{a})$ is the union of the $U((f_i)) = U_{f_i}$; since $U_f \cap U_g = U_{fg}$, the U_f thus form a base of open subsets when f runs over the elements of A . Every open subset of the form U_f is said to be *special*. Every special open subset is *quasi-compact*: indeed, if U_f is the union of some $U(\mathfrak{a}_i)$, then $U_f = \bigcup_i U(\mathfrak{a}_i) = U(\sum \mathfrak{a}_i)$, and a power f^n of f belongs to $\sum_i \mathfrak{a}_i$, and thus to the sum of a finite number of the $\mathfrak{a}_i$.

In particular, $V = U_1$ is quasi-compact. Finally, if the ring A is *Noetherian*, then every increasing sequence of ideals stabilises, and the same is true for every increasing sequence of open subsets. The prime spectrum is thus a Zariski topological space.

| p. 1-04

3 Quasi-coherent sheaves on $V(A)$

Now let M be a unital module over the ring A . We are going to define a *presheaf* $\mathfrak{M}^*$ on the base of special open subsets of $V(A)$. For this, we will associate, to each $f \in A$, the multiplicatively-stable system S_f given by the complement in A of the union of the prime ideals of U_f . The system S_f is the complete multiplicatively-stable system generated by f . We then have the equivalence:

$$S_f \subset S_g \mapsto U_f \supset U_g.$$

With this in mind, we will define the presheaf $\mathfrak{M}^*$ by the formulas $\mathfrak{M}^*(U_f) = M_{S_f}$, which we will also denote by $\mathfrak{M}_f$; if $U_f \supset U_g$, then the restriction map $\rho: \mathfrak{M}^*(U_f) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^*(U_g)$ is the canonical map from $\mathfrak{M}_{S_f}$ to $\mathfrak{M}_{S_g}$. These definitions clearly do not depend on the elements f and g that define U_f and U_g ; furthermore, the axioms of a presheaf are satisfied, thanks to the properties of localisation.

We will denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\mathfrak{M}^*$. Since the A_f are rings, and the M_f are A_f -modules, $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is a presheaf of rings, $\mathfrak{M}^*$ a presheaf of $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -modules, $\mathfrak{A}$ a sheaf of rings, and $\mathfrak{M}$ a sheaf of $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules. Furthermore, the functors that send M to $\mathfrak{M}^*$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ are exact, since the functor that sends M to the M_f is exact. We define an *algebraic sheaf* on $V(A)$ to be any sheaf of modules over the sheaf of rings $\mathfrak{A}$. We define a *quasi-coherent sheaf* on $V(A)$ to be any sheaf isomorphic to a sheaf of the form $\mathfrak{A}(M)$ for some M . We will first be interested in the sections of such a sheaf over a special open subset U_f .

For this, we note that the prime ideals of A_f are the images under the map $A \mapsto A_f$ of the prime ideals of A that do not contain f . Furthermore, the canonical application thus defined from the spectrum $V(A_f)$ of A_f to $V(A)$ is a homomorphism from $V(A_f)$ to U_f . Finally, the sheaf on $V(A_f)$ associated to the module M_f can be identified with the restriction of $\mathfrak{M}$ to U_f .

More generally, if S is a multiplicatively-stable system (that we can assume to be complete) of A , then let E_S be the topological subspace of $V(A)$ consisting of the prime ideals that do not meet S . The canonical map $A \mapsto A_S$ induces a homomorphism from

| p. 1-05

$V(A_S)$ to E_S ; if S is generated by the f_i , then E_S is the intersection of the U_{f_i} , and, conversely, every intersection of special open subsets of $V(A)$ is of the form E_S . We will see that the restriction of $\mathfrak{M}$ to the subspace E_S can be identified with the sheaf $\mathfrak{M}_S$ associated to the A_S -module M_S .

For every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, we denote by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the ring and the module obtained by localisation of the multiplicative system $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$.

Theorem 1.

- a. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a point of $V(A)$, then the localised ring $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (the fibre of the sheaf $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathfrak{p}$) is canonically isomorphic to $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$; Identifying $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the localised module $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the sheaf $\mathfrak{M}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is canonically isomorphic to $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and thus to $M \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- b. The canonical map from $M_f = \mathfrak{M}^*(U_f)$ to $\mathfrak{M}(U_f)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The first claim of (a) follows from the fact that

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varinjlim_{U_f \ni \mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{A}^*(U_f) = \varinjlim_{f \notin \mathfrak{p}} A_f = A_{\mathfrak{p}};$$

the second claim of (a) can be proven in the same way.

We now show that the map $M_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(U_f)$ is *injective*: Since $U_f = V(A_f)$, we can always assume that $f = 1$ and $U_f = V(A)$. The kernel of the map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(V)$ then consists of the m such that $m \otimes_A 1_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = 0$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. the m whose annihilator is not contained in any prime ideal: the kernel is thus zero.

Similarly, the map $M_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(U_f)$ is *surjective*: it suffices to prove this in the case where $f = 1$ and $U_f = V$. By the above, $\mathfrak{M}(V) = \Gamma(\mathfrak{M})$ is the union of the $H^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{M}^*)$ where $\mathfrak{U}$ runs over the finite covers of V by special open subsets; it thus suffices to prove that the map $M \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{M})$ is surjective for every finite cover by special open subsets.

For this, let $(f_i)_{i=1, \dots, p}$ be elements of A such that $V = \bigcup U_{f_i}$, and let X_i be the elements of M_{f_i} such that X_i and X_j have the same image in $M_{f_i \cdot f_j}$; even if we replace f_i by one of its powers, we can still suppose that X_i is of the form m_i/f_i , where $m_i \in M$. Since X_i and X_j have the same image in $M_{f_i \cdot f_j}$,

$$\frac{m_i f_j - m_j f_i}{f_i f_j} = 0 \in M_{f_i \cdot f_j}.$$

In other words,

$$(f_i \cdot f_j)^r (m_i f_j - m_j f_i) = 0 \in M \text{ for } r \text{ large enough.}$$

| p. 1-06

Also, the $U_{f_i} = U_{f_i^{r+1}}$ cover V , i.e. the f_i^{r+1} generate A , i.e. we have a relation of the form $1 = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i f_i^{r+1}$, where $a_i \in A$. It follows that, if $m = \sum_i m_i a_i f_i^{r+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^{r+1} &= \sum_i a_i m_i f_i^r f_j^{r+1} \\ &= \sum_i a_i m_j f_j^r f_i^{r+1} \\ &= m_j f_j^r \end{aligned}$$

and, in M_{f_j} , we have the equality $X_j = m_j/f_j = m/1$ for all j . □

Corollary 1. *If S is a multiplicatively-stable subset of A , then the restriction of the sheaf $\mathfrak{M}$ to E_S can be identified with the sheaf $\mathfrak{M}_S$ on $V(A_S)$ associated to M_S .*

Proof. Let f be an element of A . The open subset $E_S \cap U_f$ of E_S can then be identified with $E_{S \cdot S_f}$, and, consequently,

$$\mathfrak{M}_S(E_S \cap U_f) = M_{S \cdot S_f} = (M_S)_f = \varinjlim_{U_g \supset E_S} M_{f \cdot g}.$$

The natural maps $M_{f \cdot g} = \mathfrak{M}(U_{fg}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(E_S \cap U_f)$ thus extend to give a map

$$\mathfrak{M}_S(E_S \cap U_f) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(E_S \cap U_f)$$

and induce a morphism of sheaves:

$$\mathfrak{M}_S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}|_{E_S}.$$

But if $\mathfrak{p} \in E_S$, then the fibre of $\mathfrak{M}_S$ over $\mathfrak{p}$ is exactly $(M_S)_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and the above map induces an isomorphism over each $\mathfrak{p}$: the map is thus an isomorphism of sheaves. $\square$

| p. 1-07

Corollary 2. *M_S is the module of sections of $\mathfrak{M}$ over E_S .*

Corollary 3. *If M and N are A -modules, then the map*

$$\varphi: \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$$

defined by the functor $M \mapsto \mathfrak{M}$ is bijective.

Proof. We will exhibit an inverse map ψ . For this, let Γ be the functor that sends any sheaf of $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules to its module of sections over $V(A)$. The functor Γ defines a map from $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ to $\text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{N}))$, and thus, since this latter group is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ by an explicit isomorphism described above, a map ψ from $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ to $\text{Hom}(M, N)$. Then φ and ψ are inverse to one another. $\square$

It follows from [this corollary](#), along with the exactness of the functor $M \mapsto \mathfrak{M}$, that, if $u: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves, then $\text{Ker } u$ and $\text{Coker } u$ are quasi-coherent sheaves.

Theorem 2.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebraic sheaf on $V(A)$, then the following are equivalent:

- a. $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent.
- b. For every special open subset U_f , the natural map

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A A_f \rightarrow \Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F})$$

is bijective.

c. For every point $\mathfrak{p}$ of V , the natural map

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is bijective.

d. $\mathcal{F}$ is locally isomorphic to a quasi-coherent sheaf, i.e. every point $\mathfrak{p}$ of V has a special neighbourhood U_f such that $\mathcal{F}|_{U_f}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf.

Proof. We have already seen that (a) $\implies$ (b), (a) $\implies$ (c), and (a) $\implies$ (d).

We will first show that (b) $\implies$ (a): Let $M = \Gamma(V, \mathcal{F})$. Then, for every affine open subset U_f we have restrictions: $M \rightarrow \Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F})$, and since $\Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F})$ is an A_f -module, it follows that we have a map:

$$M_f = M \otimes_A A_f \rightarrow \Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F}).$$

These maps induce a morphism of sheaves: $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

This morphism will be bijective if the induced maps $\mathfrak{M}(U_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_f)$ are bijective (and so (b) $\implies$ (a)) or if the induced maps $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are bijective (and so (c) $\implies$ (a)).

It remains only to show that (d) $\implies$ (a). The proof that follows is due to Grothendieck.

We note first of all that the map $A \rightarrow A_f$ endows every A_f -module with an A -module structure, and thus associates, to every quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U_f , a quasi-coherent sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ on V (we will return later to this operation). Furthermore, if U_g is an affine open subset of V , then:

$$\Gamma(U_g, \overline{\mathcal{F}}) = \Gamma(V, \overline{\mathcal{F}})_g = \Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F})_g = \Gamma(U_f \cap U_g, \mathcal{F}).$$

So take some algebraic sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on V such that there exists a cover of V by special open subsets U_{f_i} with the condition that $\mathcal{G}|_{U_{f_i}}$ is quasi-coherent. We will now show that $\mathcal{G}$ is quasi-coherent.

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf, we have, for all U_g , an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U_g, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \prod_i \Gamma(U_g \cap U_i, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \prod_{i < j} \Gamma(U_g \cap U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{G})$$

or even

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U_g, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \prod_i \Gamma(U_g, \overline{\mathcal{G}|_{U_i}}) \rightarrow \prod_{i < j} \Gamma(U_g, \overline{\mathcal{G}|_{U_i \cap U_j}}).$$

The two latter terms of the exact sequence are the sections over U_g of the sheaves associated to the modules

$$M = \prod_i \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{G}|_{U_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad N = \prod_{i < j} \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{G}).$$

Letting g vary, we obtain, by taking the limit, an “exact sequence of sheaves”: $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ is thus the kernel of a morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves: $\mathcal{G}$ is quasi-coherent. $\square$

4 Coherent sheaves on $V(A)$

From now on we will suppose that A is a Noetherian ring. Then it is well known that the category of Noetherian A -modules agrees with that of A -modules of finite type. We define a *coherent sheaf* on $V(A)$ to be any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ that is isomorphic to a sheaf of the type $\mathfrak{M}$, where M is an A -module of finite type. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is thus quasi-coherent, and it is a sheaf of finite type (a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules on a topological space X is said to be of *finite type* if every point of X admits a neighbourhood U along with a surjection $\mathfrak{A}^p|U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U \rightarrow 0$, where $\mathfrak{A}^p$ is sheaf given by the direct sum of p sheaves, each isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}$). Such a surjection induces a map

$$\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{A}^p) = \Gamma(U, \mathfrak{A})^p \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$$

and defines p surjections from $\mathcal{F}$ to U , i.e. the images of the basis vectors of $\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{A})^p$. Conversely, the data of p sections of $\mathcal{F}$ over U defines a map $\mathfrak{A}^p|U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U$.

Theorem 3.

If A is Noetherian and if $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebraic sheaf on $V(A)$, then the following are equivalent:

- $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent algebraic sheaf on $V(A)$.
- $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent and of finite type.
- $\mathcal{F}$ is of finite type, and, for every open subset U and every morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf of finite type on U , the kernel $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is of finite type on U .

Proof. We already know that (a) $\implies$ (b). Conversely, if $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent and of finite type, then there exists a cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of $V(A)$ by special open subsets such that $\Gamma(U_{f_i}, \mathcal{F})$ is an A_{f_i} -module of finite type. Since $V(A)$ is quasi-compact, we can always assume that this cover is finite, and that $\mathcal{F}$ is of the form $\mathfrak{M}$: we then need to prove that M is an A -module of finite type. But, for all i , there exists a finite number of $m_{i_k} \in M$ that generate M_{f_i} , and, if N denotes the submodule generated by all the m_{i_k} , then N is an A -module of finite type, and clearly $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M}$, whence $N = M$.

Now for (c) $\implies$ (b): If $\mathcal{F}$ is of finite type, then every point admits a special neighbourhood U over which we have a surjection:

$$\mathfrak{A}^p|U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the kernel of this surjection is also of finite type, we in fact have an exact sequence (provided that the special open subset U is small enough):

$$\mathfrak{A}^q|U \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^p|U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U \rightarrow 0.$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is thus, on every small enough special open subset, the cokernel of a morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves: so $\mathcal{F}$ is locally quasi-coherent, and is thus quasi-coherent.

Finally, (a) $\implies$ (c): If $\mathcal{F}$ is coherent, then $\mathcal{F}$ is of finite type. Furthermore, if $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U$ is a morphism, then we can always assume that U is a special open subset that is small enough such that we have a surjection $\psi: \mathfrak{A}^p|U \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$.

We then have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{A}^p|U & & \\
 & & \downarrow \psi & & \\
 \text{Ker } \varphi & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{F}|U \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

and we define $\chi = \varphi \circ \psi: \mathfrak{A}^p|U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|U$.

The sheaves $\mathfrak{A}^p|U$ and $\mathcal{F}|U$ are coherent on U , and χ is thus induced by a morphism $\chi': \mathfrak{A}^p(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$. This “resolution” of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(U)$ extends to a resolution

$$\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{A})^q \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathfrak{A})^p \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}).$$

This latter exact sequence allows us to complete the diagram and show that, on U , $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is the quotient of a sheaf of finite type:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathfrak{A}^q|U & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}^p|U & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathcal{F}|U \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi & & \parallel \\
 \text{Ker } \varphi & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{F}|U \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

□

| p. 1-11

Remark. Claim (c) gives a characterisation of coherent sheaves, which does not require the base of special open subsets of $V(A)$. In fact, if V is an arbitrary topological space, and $\mathfrak{A}$ a sheaf of rings on V , then the sheaves of $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules that satisfy (c) are the objects of an abelian subcategory of the category of sheaves of $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules (see Serre [4]). In the case considered here, the quasi-coherent sheaves are the inductive limits of coherent sheaves.

5 The maximal spectrum of a Jacobson ring

We are going to apply the above to algebraic geometry. For this, we denote by $\Omega(A)$ the *maximal spectrum* of A , which is defined to be the topological subspace of $V(A)$ consisting of the maximal ideals of A . We further suppose that A is a *Jacobson ring*, i.e. that every prime ideal is the intersection of maximal ideals (see [1] and [3]). The following proposition then holds:

Proposition.

The following are equivalent:

- a. A is a Jacobson ring.

- b. The correspondence $U \mapsto U \cap \Omega(A)$ between open subsets of $V(A)$ and of $\Omega(A)$ is bijective.
- c. The correspondence $W \mapsto W \cap \Omega(A)$ between closed subsets of $V(A)$ and of $\Omega(A)$ is bijective.

Proof. The equivalence of (b) and (c) is trivial. On the other hand, the closed subsets of V correspond to the ideals of A that are intersections of prime ideals. The closed subsets of Ω correspond to the ideals of A that are intersections of maximal ideals. The two families of ideals agree if and only if A is a Jacobson ring. $\square$

Under this last hypothesis, the spaces $V(A)$ and $\Omega(A)$ thus have the same lattice of open subsets. It clearly follows that the sheaves on V and on Ω “are in bijective correspondence.” In particular, we define a *special open subset* of Ω to be the restriction of any special open subset of V , and a *quasi-coherent* (resp. *coherent*) *algebraic sheaf* on Ω to be the restriction of any quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) algebraic sheaf on V . The properties of these sheaves on V extend (up to evident modifications) to their restrictions to Ω .

In particular, every algebra of finite type over a field is a Jacobson ring. If k is an algebraically closed field, V an affine algebraic set over k , and A its coordinate ring, then V is homeomorphic to the maximal spectrum $\Omega(A)$ of A . The sheaf of rings $\mathfrak{A}|\Omega(A)$ is called the *sheaf of germs of regular functions on V* . | p. 1-12

Similarly, a sheaf of modules over this sheaf of rings is said to be *algebraic* (resp. *quasi-coherent algebraic*, resp. *coherent algebraic*) if it is the restriction of a sheaf on $V(A)$ with the named property.

6 Quasi-coherent sheaves on an algebraic variety

More generally, if X is an arbitrary algebraic set over k , then we define a *quasi-coherent* (resp. *coherent*) *algebraic sheaf* on X to be any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ such that there exists a cover of X by affine open subsets U_i such that $\mathcal{F}|U_i$ is quasi-coherent (resp. coherent). By [the remark] that follows Theorem 3](#remark-theorem-3), this is equivalent to saying that, for every affine open subset U , the sheaf $\mathcal{F}|U$ is quasi-coherent (resp. coherent).

In particular, the sheaf of rings $\mathfrak{A}$ such that, for every affine open subset U , $\mathfrak{A}(U)$ is the ring of regular functions on U (with the evident restrictions), is a coherent sheaf. We call it the *sheaf of germs of regular functions*. Every sheaf of modules over $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be *algebraic*.

Bibliography

- [1] H. Cartan. “Variétés algébriques affines.” *Séminaire Cartan-Chevalley*. **8** (1955-56), Talk no. 3.
- [2] R. Godement. *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*. Hermann, 1958. *Act. Scient. Et Ind.* **1252**.
- [3] W. Krull. “Jacobsonsche Rings, Hilbertscher Nullstellensatz, Dimensionstheorie.” *Math. Z.* **54** (1951), 354–387.

- [4] J.-P. Serre. “Faisceaux algébriques cohérents.” *Ann. Math.* **61** (1955), 197–279.